ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

% Recovery:

Ü	•	
Client ID:	M130654	
Date Received:	07/06/12	
Date Extracted:	07/10/12	
Date Analyzed:	07/10/12	
Matrix:	Water	
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	

Internal Standard:

Client:	Alaskan Copper Works
Project:	Metro Self Monitor PO M130654
Lab ID:	207067-01 x10
Data File:	207067-01 x10.039
Instrument:	ICPMS1
Operator:	AP
Lower	Upper
Limit:	Limit:

125

60

Germanium	101
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)
Chromium	189
Nickel	137
Copper	222
Zinc	18.3

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Method Blank Not Applicable

07/10/12 Date Extracted: 07/10/12 Date Analyzed: Water Matrix:

Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor PO M130654 I2-459 mb

Lab ID: I2-459~mb~rr.042Data File: ICPMS1

Instrument:Operator: AP

Lower

Upper

Internal Standard:

Germanium

% Recovery: 108

Limit: 60

Limit: 125

Concentration

Analyte:

ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 07/13/12 Date Received: 07/06/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor PO M130654, F&BI 207067

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 207081-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	97	101	71-130	4
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	99	103	71-120	4
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	22.9	102 b	63 b	52-134	47 b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	14.1	100 b	102 b	51-142	2 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		Percent									
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance							
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria							
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	91	80-119							
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	94	83-119							
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	89	81-120							
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	93	82-120							

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,$ nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

2070	0.61	9	'SAN	PLE CHA	in of C	ust	(D	1		1)	ME	0		6-12		CII
Send Report To J &		5 has	nn I	Samplers Project Nu Evel		2	東)	X	e	*	0#		000	Standi Ri ISM	PNAROUN ard (2 Week In Congress authorities	(5)	<u>L</u>
Address 2801 City, State, ZIP Mil)39+6 (Cr. 20 798Fax#	PAS CK, W	C 2A 980		npj.			•		401	Solm C	vil.	NA II	SA Dispos Retur	MPLE IIIS se after 30 n samples all with ins	POS days	ÄĹ
r		Г			1		- 0.		A	NAL	(SES	REQL	EST	ED_			
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel +	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021.B	100	SVOCs by 8270		mich				Not	es
516(1)	01	7-2	9:10	Sil	1	X					X	1	X				10 11 12
< 17/1-1	02	11	9:20	31	1	x	1				X	X	X				
SISI	03		9:35		1	x	1				X	X	X				
< 10/0	04		9:50		1	x					X	4	x				
550(1)	05	V	10'10_	V	1	X					t	8	8				
	-						+	+	+	-		-	-				
	 							1	1	1							
											_	_					
	1.	1	i)									_					mr) m
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	S 0	SIGNATE	DE.		PRINT					+	1º C	OMP	ANY	•	DATE		TIME 11:15
3012 16th Avenue West	Relingues	JXX.		5	DLA.	75)U	45	04	-	ا) '	1	NC	7-6	-	1.4
Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by:	Da	0		D1-1	W	1120		12100	+	P	41	6.7		11	+	M
Fox (206) 283-5044	Received by:									1					1		V
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	L					100		RILLIA			Sa	mple	s rec	eived	at 2	_°C	5 W 15

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

July 13, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on July 6, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor PO M130654, F&BI 207067 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0713R.DOC